



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,560	02/08/2002	Matthew C. Burch	702.165	9891
38933 7590 07/22/2010 GARMIN LTD. C/O GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC. ATTN: Legal - IP 1200 EAST 151ST STREET OLATHE, KS 66062				
EXAMINER				
ORTIZ, BELIX M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2164				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/22/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@GARMIN.COM

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/071,560

Applicant(s)

BURCH, MATTHEW C.

Examiner

BELIX M. ORTIZ

Art Unit

2164

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 June 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 22-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 22-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/GS/US)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. In response to communication files on June 25, 2010, Claims 1-21 and 39-45 are cancelled and claims 22 and 31 are amended by applicant's request. Therefore, claims 21-38 are presently pending in the application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/25/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. filing date of application: 2/8/2002) as being unpatentable over Ran (US Pat. 6,317,686) (Eff. filing date of application: 7/21/2000) in view of Blair et al. (US Pub. 2003/0145005) (Eff. filing date of application: 1/25/2002) (hereinafter Blair).

As to claim 22, Ran teaches a navigational aid device (see figure 1, column 17, lines 17-34), comprising:

a processor (see figure 1, characters 1-3); and
a memory adapted to communicate to the processor (see figure 1, characters 1-3 and 5),
wherein the memory includes a set of track log points(see figure 10B),
wherein the device is adapted to select a desired track log based on a first user-specified
desired endpoint and a second user-specified desired endpoint (see column 17, lines 17-27), and
wherein at least one of the first and second user-specified endpoints is capable of being
selected by a user-specified location (see column 22, lines 22-36).

Ran does not expressly teach wherein the memory includes a set of track log points, the
track log points indicating a plurality of previous locations of the navigational aid device.

Blair teaches method and system for facilitating use of the global positioning system
(GPS) (see abstract), in which he teaches wherein the memory includes a set of track log points,
the track log points indicating a plurality of previous locations of the navigational aid device (see
paragraph 6).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made to have modified Ran by the teaching of Blair, because wherein the
memory includes a set of track log points, the track log points indicating a plurality of previous
locations of the navigational aid device, would enable the GPS because, "If the user has traveled
to a destination previously, he or she may have used the GPS device to obtain the coordinates of
the location at that time. If the user saved the coordinates of the location during his or her

previous visit, the coordinates may be stored in the GPS device. The coordinates can then be used by the GPS device to determine the direction and distance to the destination from any starting point, which can be determined from the GPS by the GPS device. While this process enables a user to travel to a previously visited location from a different starting point, it is often desirable to travel to a destination that has not been visited previously", (see paragraph 6).

As to claim 23, Ran as modified teaches wherein the device includes a portable device (see Ran, figure 1, character 17).

As to claim 24, Ran as modified teaches wherein the device includes a cellular device (see Ran, figure 1, character 14).

As to claim 25, Ran as modified teaches wherein the device includes a Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver device (see Ran, column 22, lines 4-6).

As to claim 26, Ran as modified teaches wherein the device includes a Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) (see Ran, figure 1, character 16).

As to claim 27, Ran as modified teaches wherein at least one of the first and second user-specified endpoints is capable of being selected by manually entering a location (see Ran, column 17, lines 17-34 and column 22, lines 4-9).

As to claim 28, Ran as modified teaches wherein at least one of the first and second user-specified endpoints is capable of being selected by using a map feature (see Ran, column 17, lines 34-47).

As to claim 29, Ran as modified teaches wherein at least one of the first and second user-specified endpoints is capable of being selected by using an address (see Ran, column 17, lines 34-47).

As to claim 30, Ran as modified teaches wherein at least one of the first and second user-specified endpoints is capable of being selected by using a waypoint (see Ran, column 17, lines 34-47).

As to claim 31, Ran teaches a navigational aid device (see figure 1, column 17, lines 17-34), comprising:

a processor (see Ran, figure 1, characters 1-3); and

a memory adapted to communicate to the processor (see Ran, figure 1, characters 1-3 and 5),

wherein the memory includes a set of track log points (see Ran, figure 10B), the track log points indicating a plurality of previous location of the navigation aid device (see Blair, paragraph 6) same motivation of claim 1, above.

wherein the device is adapted to:

determine a user-selected method for specifying a time of at least one track log endpoint from a choice among: a method for specifying a location and extracting a time from the specified location, and at least one other method for specifying the time of at least one track log end point (see Ran, figure 6, characters 67, 610, and 611; figure 7B; and figure 9, character 96 where he teach “departure time choice or arrival time choice”);

receive user-specified desired endpoints for a desired track log using one or more of the methods for specifying a time of at least one track log endpoint (see Ran, figure 6, characters 67, 610, and 611; figure 7B; and figure 9);

assign actual endpoints for the track log based on a time for the desired endpoints and a set of track log points (see Ran, figure 8, character 814 and column 22, lines 33-36); and

identify the desired track log using the actual endpoints and at least one track log point from the set of track log points (see Ran, column 22, lines 22-36).

As to claim 32, Ran teaches wherein the navigational aid device includes a portable navigational aid device (see Ran, figure 1, character 17 and column 22, lines 4-6).

As to claim 33, Ran teaches wherein the navigational aid device includes a wireless communication device (see Ran, figure 1, character 4).

As to claim 34, Ran teaches wherein the navigational aid device includes a Global Positioning Receiver (GPS) device (see Ran, column 22, lines 4-6).

As to claim 35, Ran teaches wherein the navigational aid device includes a Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) (see Ran, figure 1, character 16).

As to claim 36, Ran teaches wherein:

the device is further adapted to display a list of track log points that are associated with a time (see Ran, figure 9, characters 61 and 96 and column 22, lines 22-65), and

the at least one other method for specifying the time of at least one track log endpoint includes selecting a track log endpoint from the list of track log points (see Ran, figure 9, characters 61 and 96 and column 22, lines 22-65).

As to claim 37, Ran teaches wherein:

the device is further adapted to display a data entry screen for entering a time (see Ran, figure 9, character 96 and column 22, lines 45-49); and

the at least one other method for specifying the time of at least one track log endpoint includes entering a time that is to be associated with the at least one track log endpoint (see Ran, column 22, lines 46-59).

As to claim 38, Ran teaches wherein the device is further adapted to:

search for a nearest track log point that is located closest to at least one of the desired endpoints that is specified by a location (see Ran, figure 11, characters 118 and 1114);

identify a time associated with the nearest track log point (see Ran, column 1, lines 52-58); and

find an index of the nearest track log point in a time range (see Ran, column 21, lines 40-47 and column 22, lines 1-3).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 and 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BELIX M. ORTIZ whose telephone number is (571)272-4081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bmo

July 15, 2010

/Belix M. Ortiz/
Examiner, Art Unit 2164